TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Adams v. Church	510
Ah How alias Louie Ah How v. United States	65
	00
Alabama and Georgia Manufacturing Company, River-	c#o
dale Cotton Mills v	672
American Book Company v. The State of Kansas ex rel.	40
Nichols	49
American Fur Refining Company, Cimiotti Unhairing	
Company v	670
American Press Association v. Daily Story Publishing	
Company	675
Altantic Lumber Company v. L. Bucki & Son Lumber Co.	672
Atlantic Transport Company v . Dodge	671
Bache v. Hunt	523
Barney v. City of New York	430
Beach, Southern Railway Company v	667
Berger v. Fuller	668
Bilhoefer, Julius King Optical Company v	670
Boering v. Chesapeake Beach Railway Company	442
Boyer, Keller Tool Company v	673
• ,	013
Brahan, National Mutual Building and Loan Associa-	005
tion v	635
Brown, Eaton v	411
Buffalo German Insurance Company, Third National	
Bank of Buffalo v	581
Burns, Security Land and Exploration Company v	167
Carr v. Modern Woodmen of America	670
Carstairs v. Cochran	10
Caspersen, Winous Point Shooting Club v	189
<u> </u>	

1

Central Trust Com	pany,	Julia	n v .					
Cherokee Nation, I	Delaw	are Ir	ndians	v.				
Chesapeake Beach	Raily	vay C	ompa	ny, B	oerin	g v.		
Chickasaw Freedm		-	-			_	kasa	w
Nation .								
Choctaw Nation	and	Chicl	casaw	Na	tion,	Chic	kasa	w
Freedmen v .								
Choctaw Nation ar	id Chi	ickasa	ıw Na	tion,	Unit	ed St	ates ·	v.
Chu Do <i>alia</i> s Chu C	e v.	Unite	ed Sta	tes				
Chu Gee v. United	Stat	es						
Church, Adams v .								
Cimiotti Unhairing	Com	pany	v. Aı	meric	an F	ur Re	efinin	g
Company	•	•	•					•
Cincinnati Street R	ailwa	y Con	npany	v. S	nell			
City National Ban		-				ond	Guan	0
Company								
City of Gloucester,	Gloue	cester	Wate	er Su	pply	Comp	any	v.
City of New York,				•				
City of New York,		-	v.					
City of New York,		~~		ailro	ad of	the (City o	of
New York v.								
Cochran, Carstairs	v.			•				
Colcord, Hodges v.								
Colwell, Tinker v .								
Commonwealth Ti	tle Ir	isurai	ace a	nd '	Trust	Con	pany	7,
United States								
Coney v . Continent	al Bu	ilding	and	Loan	Asso	ciati	on	
Continental Buildin		_	•					
Cosmopolitan Mini	-							
Costello, Shattuck						_		
Coventry v . Davis		_						
Cunard Steamship	Comr	nanv -	(Limi	ted).	Kelle	ev v.		
Carrer of Community	~~~ <u>r</u>	J	\	/,		.,	•	-
Dahl-Millikan Groc	erv C	omna	nv. Y	anle	v.			
Daily Story Publis	•	-		•		Press	Asso	
ciation v .	_	-	٠,	1,,,,,,,,	-veri	_ 1000	# # K/K/%	
cialion v.	•			•		•		

Dampskibsselskabet "Tellus," Hunter v	TABLE OF CONTENTS.								
Dampskibsselskabet "Tellus," Hunter v. 669 Davis, Coventry v. 668 Delaware Indians v. Cherokee Nation 127 District of Columbia, Sinclair v. 672 Dodge, Atlantic Transport Company v. 671 Eaton v. Brown 411 Edwards v. Root 673 Ellefsen, Virdin v. 673 Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v. Hanley 671 Fargo v. Hart 490 Farquhuar v. Presho 674 First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v. 676 Fuller, Berger v. 668 Gagnon v. United States 451 Gildersleeve, United States v. 528 Giles v. Teasley 146 Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester 580 Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn 17 Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company 674 Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v. Jones 532 Green, Leigh v. 79 Gring v. McIlvaine 669 Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport 676 <td< td=""><td>Tab</td><td>le of C</td><td>Cases I</td><td>Report</td><td>ed.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Tab	le of C	Cases I	Report	ed.				
Davis, Coventry v. 668 Delaware Indians v. Cherokee Nation 127 District of Columbia, Sinelair v. 672 Dodge, Atlantic Transport Company v. 671 Eaton v. Brown 411 Edwards v. Root 673 Ellefsen, Virdin v. 673 Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v. Hanley 671 Fargo v. Hart 490 Farquhuar v. Presho 674 First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v. 676 Fuller, Berger v. 668 Gagnon v. United States 451 Gildersleeve, United States v. 528 Giles v. Teasley 146 Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester 580 Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn 17 Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company 674 Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v. Jones 532 Green, Leigh v. 79 Gring v. McIlvaine 669 Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport 676 Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v. 673			~~						
Delaware Indians v. Cherokee Nation 127 District of Columbia, Sinclair v. 672 Dodge, Atlantic Transport Company v. 671 Eaton v. Brown 411 Edwards v. Root 673 Ellefsen, Virdin v. 673 Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v. Hanley 671 Fargo v. Hart 490 Farquhuar v. Presho 674 First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v. 676 Fuller, Berger v. 668 Gagnon v. United States 451 Gildersleeve, United States v. 528 Giles v. Teasley 146 Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester 580 Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn 17 Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company 674 Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v. Jones 532 Green, Leigh v. 79 Gring v. McIlvaine 669 Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport 676 Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v. 673 Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v. 671 Hart, Fargo v. 4		'ellus,	" Hi	ınter	v.	•	•	٠	
District of Columbia, Sinclair v. 672 Dodge, Atlantic Transport Company v. 671 Eaton v. Brown 411 Edwards v. Root 673 Ellefsen, Virdin v. 673 Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v. Hanley 671 Fargo v. Hart 490 Farquhuar v. Presho 674 First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v. 676 Fuller, Berger v. 668 Gagnon v. United States 451 Gildersleeve, United States v. 528 Giles v. Teasley 146 Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester 580 Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn 17 Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company 674 Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v. Jones 532 Green, Leigh v. 79 Gring v. McIlvaine 669 Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport 676 Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v. 673 Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v. 671 Hart, Fargo v. 490 Henkel, Runkle v. 675	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Dodge, Atlantic Transport Company v				ion	•	•	•	•	127
Eaton v. Brown	District of Columbia, Si	nclai	r <i>v</i> .						672
Edwards v. Root 673 Ellefsen, Virdin v. 673 Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company 671 Fargo v. Hant 490 Farquhuar v. Presho 674 First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v. 676 Fuller, Berger v. 668 Gagnon v. United States 451 Gildersleeve, United States v. 528 Giles v. Teasley 146 Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester 580 Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn 17 Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company 674 Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v. Jones 532 Green, Leigh v. 79 Gring v. McIlvaine 669 Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport 676 Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v. 673 Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing 671 Company v. 671 Hart, Fargo v. 490 Henkel, Runkle v. 675				ıy v.		•	•		671
Edwards v. Root 673 Ellefsen, Virdin v. 673 Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company 671 Fargo v. Hant 490 Farquhuar v. Presho 674 First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v. 676 Fuller, Berger v. 668 Gagnon v. United States 451 Gildersleeve, United States v. 528 Giles v. Teasley 146 Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester 580 Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn 17 Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company 674 Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v. Jones 532 Green, Leigh v. 79 Gring v. McIlvaine 669 Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport 676 Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v. 673 Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing 671 Company v. 671 Hart, Fargo v. 490 Henkel, Runkle v. 675									
Ellefsen, Virdin v		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	411
Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v. Hanley		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	673
v. Hanley671Fargo v. Hart490Farquhuar v. Presho674First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v.676Fuller, Berger v.668Gagnon v. United States451Gildersleeve, United States v.528Giles v. Teasley146Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester580Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn17Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company674Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v. Jones532Green, Leigh v.79Gring v. McIlvaine669Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport676Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v.673Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v.671Hart, Fargo v.490Henkel, Runkle v.675	Ellefsen, Virdin v.		•			•			673
Fargo v. Hart	Empire State-Idaho M	lining	and	Deve	elopir	ng Co	mpar	ıy	
Farquhuar v. Presho	v. Hanley .					•	•		671
Farquhuar v. Presho	•								
First National Bank of Bridgeport, Hall v	Fargo v. Hart .	•	•		•	•	•		490
Fuller, Berger v	Farquhuar v . Presho		•						674
Gagnon v. United States	First National Bank of	Bridg	gepor	t, Ha	ll v.	•			676
Gildersleeve, United States v	Fuller, Berger v		•						668
Gildersleeve, United States v									
Giles v. Teasley			•	•	•	•	•	•	
Gloucester Water Supply Company v. City of Gloucester 580 Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn 17 Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company	· ·			•	•	•	•	•	528
Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v. Osborn Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company	Giles v . Teasley .			•	•				146
Gray v. Union Casualty and Surety Company	Gloucester Water Supply	y Cor	npan	y v. C	lity o	f Glo	ucest	er	580
Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v . Jones 532 Green, Leigh v	Grand Rapids and India	ana R	Lailwa	y Co	mpan	y v.	Osboi	'n	17
Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Company v . Jones 532 Green, Leigh v	Gray v. Union Casualty	and	Suret	у Со	mpan	ıy			674
Green, Leigh v									532
Gring v. McIlvaine							_	_	79
Hall v. First National Bank of Bridgeport 676 Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v 673 Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v 671 Hart, Fargo v		_	_	_					
Halliday, Western Assurance Company of Toronto v . 673 Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v 671 Hart, Fargo v				•	•	-	•	•	000
Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v 671 Hart, Fargo v	Hall v. First National B	Bank d	of Bri	idgep	ort				676
Hanley, Empire State-Idaho Mining and Developing Company v 671 Hart, Fargo v	Halliday, Western Assur	rance	Com	pany	of T	oront	o v.		673
Company v. 671 Hart, Fargo v. 490 Henkel, Runkle v. 675	÷ ,							g	
Henkel, Runkle v 675									671
Henkel, Runkle v 675									
•	-								
Hill, Mutual Life Insurance Company v	•					•	•	•	551
Hodges v. Colcord	TT-1 (C-11		_			•	•	•	

672

517

Holden v. Stratton

Hom Dock v. United States

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

					1.	VO:
Hom Poe v. United States .	•				. 5	517
Hunt, Bache v	•	•	•		. 5	52:)
Hunter v. Dampskibsselskabet	"Tellus	s"	•		. 6	69
Huntington v . City of New York	ζ.	•			. 4	141
International Navigation Com	oany. L	indstr	om a)).	6	669
International Trust Company v .						667
- ·						
Jones, Great Southern Fire Pro	of Hote	el Con	npan	y v.		32
Jones, United States v	•	•	•	•		28
Julian v . Central Trust Company			•			93
Julius King Optical Company v	. Bilhoe	fer	•	•	. 6	70
Keller Tool Company v. Boyer					6	73
Kelley v . Cunard Steamship Con	mnany (Limit	ed)	•		70
King Do, United States v			•			70
Lange v. Union Pacific Railway	Compo	nar			e	71
L. Bucki & Son Lumber Co.,			· mber	· Con		11
pany v	_					72
Lee Kit v. United States .			•	•		17
Leigh v. Green	•	•	•	•		7 9
Leung Sing or Long Sing v . Uni	itad Sta	too	•	•		75
Lew Guey v . United States \cdot .	ica sia	ıcs	•	•		65
Lindstrom v . International Navi	Gratian (· Yomne	•	•		69
	igation (Jompa	ıny	•		
Long Sing v. United States .	•	•	•	•		75 25
Louie Ah How v. United States	•	•	•	•		65
Lowry, Montague & Co. v	•	•	•	•	•	38
McCoy, United States v	•				. 5	93
McIlvaine, Gring v	•				. 6	69
$\operatorname{Miller} v.$ Northern Assurance Con	npany				. 6	74
Minneapolis and St. Louis Railre	oad Con	npany	v. St	ate o	of	
Minnesota ex rel. The Re						
Commission						53
Minnesota Mutual Life Insuran	ce Com	nanv	Wri	$_{ m oht}$		57
Modern Woodmen of America, C				_		70 70
INCOME TO CONTAIN THE CALLESTICATION OF C	LVAA L .	•	•	•		

Table of Cases Reported.	
Momsem v. National Dredging Company	669 38 551
National Dredging Company, Momsem v	669
National Mutual Building and Loan Association v. Brahan	
Newburyport, Newburyport Water Company v	561
Newburyport Water Company v. Newburyport	561
New York and Boston Dyewood Company, Tweedie	;
Trading Company v	669
New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad Company	
v. Plymouth	668
Nichols, American Book Company v	49
Northern Assurance Company, Miller v	674
Northern Pacific Railroad Company, United States v	1
Northern Securities Company v. United States	197
Oder a Charles to Little in the C	4 be
Osborn, Grand Rapids and Indiana Railway Company v.	
Owensboro Water Works Company v	667
Owensboro water works company v. Owensboro	667
Pennsylvania Railroad Company, Western Union Tele-	
graph Company v	672
Platt v. Wilmot	602
Plymouth, New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad	
Company v	668
Pope v . Williams	621
Presho, Farquhuar v	674
Dellered and Wanker County W	
Railroad and Warehouse Commission, Minneapolis and	~0
St. Louis Railroad Company v	53
Richmond Guano Company, City National Bank of Greenville v.	071
D' m	671
Rippey v . Texas	504
facturing Company	eho.
Debents a United States	672
Roberts v. United States	673

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

ix

Root, Edwards v				•			
Root, United States	ex rel. E	Edwar	$\mathrm{ds}v.$	•		•	•
Roper v . Scurlock .	•	•	•				
Runkle v . Henkel .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Schofield, Petitioner,	In the	Matte	er of				
Scurlock, Roper v.							
Security Land and 1	Explora	tion (omp	any v	. Bui	ns	
Security Land and E	_		_	-			
Shattuck v. Costello	~	•		٠.	•		
Sinclair v. District o	f Colun	ıbia					
Sing Tuck or King D	o, Unite	ed Sta	tes v .				
Sloan v. United State	-			•	•		
Smith, Stevens v				•			
Snell, Cincinnati Stre	et Raily	vay C	ompa	ny v.			
Southern Railway Co		•	_				
State of Kansas ex				erican	Boo	k Co	m-
pany v		•					
State of Minnesota e	ex rel. T	he R	ailroa	d and	d Wa	rehoi	ıse
Commission, M							
Company v							
Steamer Ansgar, Vir	$\dim v$.						
Stevens v. Smith .						•	
Stratton, Holden v.		•			•		
Teasley, Giles v							
Texas, Rippey v				_			
Third National Bank	of Buff	falo v .	Buff	alo G	erma:	n Ins	ıır-
ance Company			_		_		
Tinker v . Colwell .						_	-
Tom Dock alias Hom	n Dock 2	, Uni	ted S	tates			
Tom Hong alias Hon							-
Tweedie Trading Co						Bost	on.
Dyewood Comp					•		
Underground Railro	ad of th	ne Cit	v of	New	York	n C	itw
of New York .	01 01				~ O1 IX	0. O.	. J
OTTION TOTY .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

				•			PAGE
Union Casualty and Surety, (Gray	v.	•				674
Union Pacific Railway Compa	ny, I	ange	v.				671
United States, Ah How alias I	Louis	Ah I	low a	υ.	•		65
United States v. Choctaw Nat	ion a	nd C	hicka	saw]	Natio	n	115
United States, Chu Do alias Ch	ıu Ge	e <i>v</i> .					65
United States v. Commonwe	ealth	Title	Ins	uranc	e an	d	
Trust Company .	•		•				651
United States ex rel. Edwards	v. Ro	ot					673
United States, Gagnon v.	•						451
United States v. Gildersleeve	;	•					528
United States, Hom Dock v.							517
United States, Hom Poe v.		•					517
United States v. King Do							670
United States v . Jones .		•					528
United States, Lee Kit v.	•						517
United States, Leung Sing or	Long	Sing	v.				675
United States, Long Sing v.							675
United States, Lew Guey v.			•				65
United States, Louie Ah How	v.						65
United States v. McCoy .							593
United States v. Northern Pa	cific]	Railro	oad C	omp	any		1
United States, Northern Secu							197
United States, Roberts v.							673
United States, Sloan v							614
United States v . Sing Tuck or I	King !	Do					670
United States, Tom Dock alias			ζυ.				517
United States, Tom Hong alias							517
United States v. Wheeler	•						528
United States, Wheeler v.							528
United States, Yung Lee v.							65
TY' I' TAIL C							
Virdin v. Ellefsen	•	•	•	•	•	•	673
Virdin v. Steamer Ansgar	•	•	•	•	•	•	673
Walsh, Cosmopolitan Mining							460
Weckey, Security Land and E	zploi	ration	Con	nany	7 v.	_	188

							PAGE
Weeks, International Trust	Cor	npany	v.				667
Western Assurance Compan	y of	Toront	o v	. Halli	day		673
Western Union Telegraph	Co	mpany	v.	Penns	sylva	nia	
Railroad Company		•		•	•		672
Wheeler v. United States				•			528
Wheeler, United States v.		•					528
Williams, Pope v				•			621
Wilmot, Platt v		•		•			602
Winous Point Shooting Clul	b v.	Caspers	sen	•			189
Wright v. Minnesota Mutual	Lif	e Insur	anc	e Com	pany	•	657
Yaple v. Dahl-Millikan Groo	ery	Compa	ny	•			526
Yung Lee v. United States			•				65